

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.10336 of 2016

Date of decision: May 23, 2016.

Balbir and others

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Haryana and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Shri Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral):

The petitioners are aggrieved of the report dated 4.2.2016 submitted by BDPO, Samalkha to the Deputy Commissioner, Panipat. The aforesaid report has been submitted in compliance to the order dated 25.8.2015 issued by this Court in CWP No.17685 of 2015 (Zile Singh and others v/s State of Haryana and others).

As the aforesaid report does not recommend acceptance of the petitioners' claim for 100 sq. yard plots, they have questioned the same. In our considered view, the aforesaid report is not the final decision which will have to be taken by the Deputy Commissioner. The petitioners are thus at liberty to submit their objections against the aforesaid report to the Deputy Commissioner, Panipat who shall consider the same in accordance with law.

[**Surya Kant**]
Judge

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

May 23, 2016.
kadyan