

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.224

CWP No.11248 of 2015

Date of decision: 11.05.2016

Suman Rani and another

....Petitioners

versus

Maharishi Dayanand University, Rohtak and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Karan Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Rao, Advocate for
Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate,
for respondents No.1 to 3.

None for respondents No.4 to 6.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners presses the petition only qua
petitioner No.1.

Through the present petition, the petitioner seeks admission in
the Ph.D. Programme under the JRF category.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-University
states that necessary orders have been issued by the University to admit the
petitioner in the Ph.D. Programme under the JRF category and after
fulfillment of the necessary formalities, she would be granted admission as
per the afore-referred orders.

The above-mentioned statement made on behalf of counsel for the respondent-University satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner and on such expression of satisfaction, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

May 11, 2016
Jyoti 1