

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.10286 of 2016

Date of decision: May 23, 2016.

Arun Bansal and another

... **Petitioners**

v.

Union of India and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Petitioner No.1 in person..

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral):

The petitioners have challenged the order dated 3.5.2016 passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench whereby some misc. application has been adjourned on the request of counsel for the respondents for 14.7.2016. In our considered view, no case to interfere with such like order is made out. The petitioners may seek adjudication of their application on the date fixed.

Disposed of accordingly.

[**Surya Kant**]
Judge

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

May 23, 2016.
kadyan