

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 10268 of 2016
Date of decision: 23.05.2016

Umed ParkashPetitioner

Versus

The Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak and others
....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL

Present: Mr. Ajay Kumar Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal, J. (Oral)

The instant writ petition has been filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order dated 31.10.2008 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No. 2- Collector, Panipat, District Panipat, order dated 13.08.2010 (Annexure P-2) passed by respondent No.1- Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak and order/memo dated 18.04.2016 (Annexure P-10) issued by respondent No. 3-Executive Engineer/CMD(C), PTPs, HPGCL, Panipat.

The petitioner was ordered to be evicted under the provisions of Haryana Public Premises and Land (Eviction & Rent Recovery) Act, 1972. The impugned orders were challenged in ***CWP No. 11634 of 2010*** titled as ***Brij Bhushan Chhabra and others Vs. Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak and others***, which was dismissed as withdrawn and the following order was passed:

“In view of the aforesaid circumstances, these writ petitions are dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioners to make representation (s) to the respondents within

a period of one month from today. Respondent No.3 is further directed to consider the representation accordingly. It is made clear that respondent No.3 shall not be influenced by the order of this Court and shall take independent decision as to whether it would be feasible for it to retain the petitioners as its tenants/ licensees or not.”

Thereafter, the representations dated 20.10.2015 and 29.03.2016 were filed and decided vide order dated 18.04.2016 (Annexure P-10). In the garb of decision of said representations, the instant writ petition has been filed.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file appeal against the order dated 18.04.2016 (Annexure P-10) before the Financial Commissioner, Power.

I have considered contentions raised by learned counsel for the petitioner.

No provision has been cited by the learned counsel that the Financial Commissioner has jurisdiction to hear the appeal. I am not sure whether the Financial Commissioner, has jurisdiction to hear the appeal, however, if the said authority has jurisdiction to decide the matter in appeal, the same can be filed by the petitioner and the authority will decide the same in accordance with law.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

23.05.2016
PA

(Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal)
Judge