

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.10246 of 2016

Date of Decision: 19.10.2016

Dharambir

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Jai Vir Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

Mr. Jai Vir Yadav, learned counsel after obtaining instructions from his client states that the order dated 06/07/10/2016 is largely acceptable to him but his remaining grievance is that the benefit be granted from retrospective date claimed on the basis that similarly placed persons were accorded similar treatment of antedated promotion. For this, he prays that liberty be granted to him to raise the issues before the authorities for them to take a decision on the retroactive claim based on the order dated October 07, 2016.

Mr. Yadav's request is accepted and accordingly the petition is disposed of with the liberty to the petitioner to bring his grievance to the notice of the respondent-authorities by way of a representation. In case, a representation is filed the same will be disposed of after hearing the petitioner and passing well reasoned speaking order within a reasonable time of three months.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

19.10.2016

manju

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No