

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.124

CWP-10206-2016

Decided on : 23.05.2016

Rahul Sharma

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

The Chandigarh Administration,
UT, Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.Amit Chopra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the prayers made in the present petition he be granted liberty to first approach respondent No.3 through a detailed representation and further prays that as and when such representation is made, a direction be issued to respondent No.3 to decide the same expeditiously.

I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner to be reasonable.

In case, the detailed representation permission for which has been sought and got is made by the petitioner to respondent No.3, the same shall be decided by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, expeditiously but not later than two months from the date of receipt of such representation.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the

petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

23.05.2016
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE