

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.21292 of 2010

Date of decision: 30.3.2016

Harjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. S.K.Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. L.S.Virk, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks quashment of order dated 12.10.2010 (Annexure P/5) vide which the claim of the petitioner regarding encashment of unutilized earned leave of 115 days was rejected on the strength of instructions dated 18.11.1997.

Counsel for the petitioner is not able to demonstrate that how he is entitled for the said benefit or to show any other instructions by which can be held entitled for the relief claimed.

Accordingly, there is no scope for interference in exercise of jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India as there is no vested right in favour of the petitioner.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is dismissed.

March 30, 2016
Pka

(G.S.SANDHAWALIA)
Judge