

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.1015 of 2016
Date of decision: 19.1.2016**

Indermohan Kaur ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & ors. ... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

If the Education Tribunal, Punjab is not manned after the retirement of the last incumbent then the writ petition would be entertained only in cases of dire urgency where miscarriage of justice will result but for the interference in a situation when proper forum is not available to remedy the mischief. In this petition only monetary benefits have been claimed since the year 2006 as money due from the college by a teacher. The prayer is not of such a dire urgency to warrant exercise of the extra ordinary writ jurisdiction of this Court provided by Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

Dismissed with the liberty to the petitioner to take recourse to her remedy before the Educational Tribunal, Punjab as and when it is constituted.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

19.1.2016
monika