

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.10122 of 2016

Date of decision: 20.5.2016

Meenu Bala

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks quashing of list of selected candidates for the post of Model PGT (Lecturer) Chemistry.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that one Natasha who was at serial no.1 had secured 68.4773 merit (Annexure P/4) but she never appeared in the interview and was absent. However, her merit was shown at 71.4773 as per the selection list of 10 candidates of general category (Annexure P/6).

The said candidate admittedly has not been impleaded as party. It has further transpired during course of the arguments that the said candidate has not joined in pursuance of the said selection list. As per the merit list admittedly the petitioner is at serial no.17 having secured 61.9001 merit (Annexure P/4). Nothing has been brought on record to show that any candidate below her has been appointed. A perusal of the advertisement (Annexure P/1) would go on to show that wait list was not to be prepared. The petitioner's representation dated 21.4.2016 (Annexure P/7) is only with regard to preparing of wait list and the candidature of the petitioner be considered in the wait list. Counsel for the petitioner

TRADEER KUMAR, BORN
15.05.1982, 081
I attest to the accuracy and integrity
of this document
Punjab and Haryana High Court,
Chandigarh

demonstrate that any body below the petitioner has been appointed, no interference can be made in exercise of jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India as the petitioner is only entitled for consideration and does not have an absolute right of appointment.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is dismissed.

May 20, 2016
Pka

(G.S.SANDHAWALIA)
Judge