

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 20.05.2016

CWP No.10086 of 2016

Parkasho Devi

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Rehan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
2. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

The writ jurisdiction is not the appropriate remedy to question an order passed in the year 2010 attaching the property of the petitioner in satisfaction of liabilities incurred by the petitioner's husband. The petitioner is free to take recourse of the alternative remedy available to her either under the Punjab Cooperative Societies Act, 1961 or before the civil court exercising territorial jurisdiction over the property of the petitioner which she perceives is under threat.

Dismissed.

However, the petitioner is relegated to avail her alternative remedy.

20.05.2016
Vimal

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE**