

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.988-2016

Decided on:-09.12.2016

SatbirPetitioner

Versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

BY ORDER:-

Present:- Mr.B.S Khehar, Amicus Curiae.

Mr.Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

On the basis of complaint submitted by petitioner-Satbir which was addressed to Hon'ble the Chief Justice, the present petition has been treated as Criminal Writ Petition.

As per the complaint, daughter of the petitioner, namely Pinki who was married on 01.12.2015, had visited the house of her parents on account of festival of *Bhai Duj* and on the same day, wife of the petitioner had also gone to the house of her parents. His daughter Pinki was alone at home with her two months' child. However, in the evening, when the petitioner returned to his house, he noticed that his daughter-Pinki was not traceable. Thereafter, the matter was reported to the police.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has apprised this Court that the daughter of the petitioner-Pinki has suffered a statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. before the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Nuh/Mewat on 02.11.2016. In her statement, she stated that she was made

to marry with one Deepak son of Sukhram on 13.11.2013 by her parents against her wishes. There is one son out of that marriage. Since her husband is addicted to liquor and given her beatings, she has left her maternal house on 01.12.2015 and has gone with one Dharmendra son of late Sh. Narender at her own sweet will. After the statement of the Pinki under Section 164 Cr.P.C. learned Magistrate has send to her Nari Niketan. The photocopy of the said statement is taken on record.

Learned State counsel has further submitted that as on date, girl-Pinki has been got released from the Nari Niketan. He has produced a copy of the order dated 04.11.2016 where girl-Pinki has been released from the Nari Niketan.

Mr.B.S Khehar, who was appointed as Amicus Curiae in the case, has not disputed the statement suffered by daughter of the petitioner, namely, Pinki under Section 164 Cr.P.C. to the effect that she had gone with one Dharmendra at her own sweet will, as she was fed up for her husband-Deepak.

In view of the aforesaid developments, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition and the same is disposed of as such.

09.12.2016
Anjal

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes
Yes/No