

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-808-2016

DECIDED ON: July 12, 2016

SUKHDEV SINGH

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Bikramjeet Randhawa, Advocate
Amicus Curiae for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Facts giving rise to the instant petition are that an application has been moved to Administrative Judge during his visit to the jail, which has been treated as criminal writ petition.

2. Though, application seeking parole was moved in the month of February 2015 and was forwarded to the District Magistrate, Gurdaspur but the matter was not finally dealt with and disposed of. However, in compliance of order dated June 29, 2016, status report by way of affidavit of Sh. Pardeep Kumar, IAS, Deputy Commissioner, Gurdaspur on behalf of respondent has been furnished.

3. A glance at the reply-cum-status report makes it crystal clear that

application seeking parole of convict- Sukhdev Singh @ Sukha has been rejected vide order dated July 08, 2016 (Annexure R-3/T).

4. In the view of the status report submitted by Sh. Pardeep Kumar, IAS, Deputy Commissioner, Gurdaspur, instant petition has rendered infructuous. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available to him under law including to prefer a fresh application or to challenge the impugned order.

July 12, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE