

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.73 of 2016.
Decided on:-02.02.2016.

Raj Kumar.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Naveen Sheokand, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab
for respondent No.2.

Ms. Ashima Mor, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Mr. Karan Chaudhary, Advocate
for respondents No.7 and 9.

Detenue Amanjeet Kaur in person with
Mr. Karan Choudhary, Advocate.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of a writ in the nature of
habeas corpus/roving writ for securing release of alleged detenue Amanjeet

Kaur, who is wife of the petitioner and is in illegal and unlawful custody of respondents No.4 to 10.

Mr. Karan Choudhary, Advocate has put in appearance and filed power of attorney on behalf of respondents No.7, 9 and the alleged detainee, which is taken on record.

The alleged detainee, namely, Amanjeet Kaur is present in the Court and she is duly identified by Mr. Karan Choudhary, Advocate. She has made a categorical statement of even date before this Court, recorded separately to the effect that she is staying with her parents on her own free will and is not in their illegal custody, as alleged by the petitioner. She has further stated that she is not interested to go with the petitioner.

In view of the aforesaid categorical statement of Amanjeet Kaur, the present petition no more survives and is dismissed as such.

February 02, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE