

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision:4.3.2016

CWP No.11328 of 2014

Niranjan Kaur		... Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Punjab & anr.		... Respondents

CWP No.5011 of 2015

Balbir Chand Singla & ors.		... Petitioners
	Versus	
State of Punjab & ors.		... Respondents

CWP No.9018 of 2015

Chhitranjan Singh		... Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Punjab & anr.		... Respondents

CWP No.18006 of 2015

Santosh Kumari		... Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Punjab & anr.		... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Inqulab Nagpal, A.A.G., Punjab.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

1. This order will dispose of above cases bearing CWPs No. 11328 of 2014, 5011, 9018 and 18006 of 2015 as common questions of law and fact are involved in them which can conveniently be decided by a common order.

2. LPA No.504 of 2012 titled State of Punjab v. Baldev Raj Mittal has failed on May 22, 2014. The Division Bench has been at pains to observe:-

“Very surprisingly, out of 18 writ petitions so decided, the appellant-State has preferred to file appeal only in two cases i.e. Civil Writ Petition No.3931 of 1992 and 7567 of 1996. Qua other petitioners in other 16 cases, judgment was accepted and relief was granted.”

3. The claim is for grant of higher pay scale for acquiring higher qualifications based on a Punjab Government circular of 1957. The operating law would be the decision in appeal and consequently, these petition deserve to be allowed in the same terms as in the judgment and order of the learned Single Judge which has been upheld in appeal.

4.3.2016
monika

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE