

246.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.691 of 2016

Date of decision:04.07.2016

Sanjeev

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr.Sanjeev Majra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Petitioner-Sanjeev, who is a resident of Laxmanpuri Colony, near I.T.C. Saharanpur, District Saharanpur (U.P.), now confined in District Jail, Yamuna Nagar, has filed the present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India read with para 3(1) (d) of the Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1988 and para 8(1) of the Haryana Government Gaz. (Extra), December 18, 2007 Rules for issuance of a direction to the respondents/State to grant parole for a period of four weeks to enable him to admit his children in the school.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in order to get his children admitted, the petitioner wanted parole and accordingly, he has submitted a representation to the respondent No.3 for his

release. However, Superintendent of District Jail, Yamuna Nagar has forwarded his case to the District Magistrate, Saharanpur (U.P.) vide Annexure P1.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that case of the petitioner for his release on parole has not been considered by the respondents.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, while referring to para 3 of the reply filed by way of affidavit of Satyawar, Deputy Superintendent, District Jail, Jhajjar submits that the parole case of the petitioner/convict for admission of his children has already been initiated by the Superintendent of Jail, District Jail, Yamuna Nagar when he was confined at that time in District Jail, Yamuna Nagar and forwarded to the District Magistrate, Saharanpur (U.P.). As such, the case of the petitioner for grant of parole is pending with the competent authority.

In view of the above, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to consider and decide the case of the petitioner for grant of parole within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

04.07.2016
sanjeev