

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.679 OF 2016
DATE OF DECISION : 8th DECEMBER, 2016

Ganga Devi

.... Petitioner

Versus

UT Chandigarh & Ors.

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Rajesh Sethi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amarjit Singh Virk, APP for UT Chandigarh.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Pursuant to order made by this Court on 02.12.2016 the girl has been produced by the concerned police official. The girl was missing for about a year but then she has now been traced out.

Learned Counsel for UT Chandigarh submits that the girl was with the petitioner for the last three days after being traced out. That may be true but the fact remains that she was missing for a period of one year. The girl is minor and she has now delivered a child. Her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. has been recorded and the girl wants to live with respondent No.4 (husband) who is said to be running fast food outlet.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner Ganga Devi, states that there is no point in disturbing the matrimony of the girl, though she is minor.

I agree with the counsel for the petitioner that there is no point in disturbing the matrimony as the girl has delivered a child. Hence, the petition is disposed of by giving complements to respondent No.2-SSP, Chandigarh and particularly the police official namely ASI Swaran Singh, who has done splendid work, rather had to work hard to find out the girl.

In that view of the matter, nothing further remains to be done. Disposed of as such.

8th DECEMBER, 2016
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No.