

CM No. 8953 of 2015 in
CWP No. 10619 of 2015(O&M)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM No. 8953 of 2015 in
CWP No. 10619 of 2015(O&M)
Date of Decision : 04.08.2016

Munesh Kumari

...Petitioner

Versus

Inspector General of Police-cum-Chief Security Commissioner and
others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Karminder Singh, Advocate for the respondents.

P.B. Bajanthri, J.(Oral)

CM No. 8953 of 2015

Application is allowed, as prayed for.

Annexures R-7 to R-9 are taken on record.

Main Case

Since short question for consideration is, whether the petitioner is fulfilling the height qualification for the post of Constable in Railway Protection Force or not, therefore, main matter is heard today itself with the consent of learned counsel for the parties.

In the present petition, petitioner has sought for a direction to respondents No. 1 and 2 to consider the candidature of the petitioner to be qualified in terms of physical efficiency test particularly for height bar test for the purpose of interview which have been commenced w.e.f. 01.07.2014 to the post of Constable in Railway Protection Force.

The petitioner was subjected to medical examination, in which, her height was assessed as 156.5 c.m., which is lesser than the eligibility fixed by the authorities as 157 c.m.

Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon documents appended as Annexures P-6 and P-7 relating to selection to the post of Constable (General Duty) in CAPFs for the year 2013-14 and 2015, wherein, height of the petitioner has been measured as 157 c.m., therefore, there is a dispute/error committed by the respondent-Selecting Authority while assessing the petitioner's height as 156.5 c.m.

In view of these facts and circumstances, on 01.06.2016 this Court directed the respondents to re-examine the height of the petitioner and submit a report. One Mr. Kamaljot Brar, Senior Divisional Security Commissioner, RPF, Northern Railway, Ambala Cantt. has filed affidavit as well as application while enclosing Annexures R7 to R9. Perusal of Annexure R-7, after re-assessing the petitioner's height, it was found that her height was measured as 158.5 c.m. In view of this, the petitioner is entitled for consideration of her name for selection and appointment to the post of Constable in Railway Protection Force.

In view of her eligibility in the height, the petitioner shall be

**CM No. 8953 of 2015 in
CWP No. 10619 of 2015(O&M)**

3

subjected to further selection process. If petitioner fulfills other prescribed qualifications and if she is otherwise eligible, her candidature shall be considered for selection and appointment to the post of Constable (GD) in Railway Protection Force. All these exercise shall be done by the respondents within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of in above terms.

August 04, 2016.
kanchan

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No