

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRWP No.66 of 2016

Date of decision: February 11, 2016

Vijay Pal

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Ms. Heeba Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Luthra, AAG, Haryana.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Reply on behalf of State has been filed in court. Learned State counsel has referred to same and submits that averments made in the petition are false. The spot was inspected. Petitioner and his family members were not found in illegal detention. Other alleged detainees stated that they were working on the brick kiln of their own free will. He has prayed for imposition of exemplary costs.

Keeping in view economic condition of the parties involved, this court refrains from imposing the costs. It is, however, made clear that in case frivolous petitions of this nature are filed, this court shall consider imposition of heavy costs in appropriate case.

Dismissed.

**(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE**

February 11, 2016

'Rajpal'