

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Writ Petition No. 588 of 2016

Date of decision : May 12, 2016

Madhu Sharma

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Fateh Deep Singh

Present : None for the petitioner

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana, for the respondent

Fateh Deep Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel has filed reply by way of affidavit of Sh. Satish Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Kharkhoda at Sonipat. It has been submitted by the State that the present petitioner Madhu Sharma is a chronic litigant and is habitual to make such complaints and till date 114 complaints, detailed in the reply, have been filed by her against various persons. No one in the village has escaped from dragnet of false implication. It is further submitted that as per the report of the police and as per inquiry, there is only dispute of civil nature between the petitioner Madhu Sharma and other villagers and there is no such incident has ever taken place.

In view of the aforesaid and the fact that there is no

representation on behalf of the petitioner, nothing survives in the present petition and the same is dismissed as such.

May 12, 2016
'tiwana'

(Fateh Deep Singh)
Judge