

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No. 574 of 2016
DECIDED ON: April 29, 2016

FATIMA BIBI AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- By post.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

This is an application moved by the petitioners to the Administrative Judge(s) of Amritsar Session Division during inspection, which has been treated as criminal writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, and has been listed on the judicial side.

2. Notice of motion.

3. At the instance of this Court, Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sadhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

4. The facts giving rise to the instant petition are that applicants- Fatima Bibi w/o Sh. Safi Safeur Rehman and Mumtaj d/o Mohammad Sadik (both sisters) along with Heena d/o Shefu Rehman Shefi are serving out the sentence under Section 21 and 23 of the Narcotic Drugs

and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (hereinafter called as “Act”), who have been sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of 10½ years and a fine to the tune of ₹1 lac each. Appeals preferred by the applicants against their conviction and sentence have already been dismissed by the Division Bench of this Court vide judgment dated March 26, 2013.

5. Through, the instant application, the applicants have only sought their release from the jail. Since, they are serving out the sentence, after the dismissal of their appeals vide judgement dated March 26, 2013 passed by this Court, no case is made out for their release, especially, in the circumstances that no matter is pending and this Court is not seisin of any appeal or other proceedings.

6. Dismissed.

April 29, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE