

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Writ Petition No.519 of 2016

Date of Decision: 23.05.2016

Arun Kumar

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh & others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukant Gupta, APP, Chandigarh.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

On 21.4.2016, notice of motion was issued for 4.5.2016 and service was ordered to be effected by way of *dasti* process. On 4.5.2016, it was noticed that notices could not be issued to the respondents for want of process fee. Accordingly, fresh notices were ordered to be issued to the respondents, on the basis of an undertaking given by counsel for the petitioner, for today i.e. 23.5.2016.

Today, neither anybody is present on behalf of the petitioner, nor order dated 4.5.2016 has been complied with.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

May 23, 2016

AK

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**