

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-471-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.5.2016**

Irshad Ali

.....Petitioner

versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mrs. Sarla Chaudhry, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Naveen Sheoran, DAG Haryana

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

State has already filed the reply. Stand of the State is that though the petitioner has completed 14 years of actual imprisonment, but he has not completed 20 years sentence. It is further contended that a representation is pending before the State Level Committee (Annexure P5). The State undertakes to decide the same.

In view of the circumstances, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent to decide representation (Annexure P5) of the petitioner within one month.

30.05.2016
gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**