

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.16744 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of decision : October 17, 2016**

Prem Kumar Chaudhary

..... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab National Bank and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Ms. Neha Jain, Advocate for
Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

On the last date of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner had informed this Court that the amount of the leave encashment has been released to the LRs of the petitioner. He wanted to get instructions to withdraw the present writ petition.

Today, since none has appeared for the petitioner, therefore, the present petition is dismissed as infructuous.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

October 17, 2016

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned No

Whether Reportable: No