

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10376-2015

Date of decision:- 13.01.2016

Hari Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Dev Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Advocate,
for respondents No. 2 and 3.

* * * *

S.J. VAZIFDAR, A.C.J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the demand made by the respondents by their letter dated 02.03.2015 for interest and extension fee.

2. The question of extension fee will require certain facts to be considered including as to when exactly the construction was over and whether it was completed as per the terms and conditions in the allotment letter. The petitioner ought in the first instance to avail the alternate remedy of filing the appeal and thereafter of revision.

3. The petition is accordingly disposed of.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, especially the considerable delay on the part of the respondents in making the aforesaid claim, we are confident that the appellate authority will consider the application for condonation of delay sympathetically and reasonably.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

13.01.2016

Amodh