

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

**Criminal Writ Petition No.411 of 2016
Date of Decision:-01.06.2016**

Beerpal Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, Deputy A.G., Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

On the basis of complaint submitted by Birpal Kaur wife of Sukhwinder Singh @ Kala that her husband was abducted by the police, the present petition was registered as CRWP No.411 of 2016.

Reply on behalf of State has already been filed. Learned State counsel has referred to para 3 of the reply, which read as under :-

- “3. That the said application was entrusted by Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur to Superintendent of Police, Headquarters, Sangrur

for enquiry and report. The Enquiry Officer conducted the enquiry by associating with the related persons in the enquiry and recorded their respective statements. During enquiry, it was found that Beerpal Kaur present petitioner had earlier submitted applications to S.H.O., P.S. Sadar Sunam with the same allegations as have been levelled in the present petition, however, during enquiry, no substance was found in the allegations of abducting her husband Sukhwinder Singh, keeping him in illegal custody, torturing, harassing and uttering him un-parliamentary words towards caste levelled by the petitioner against the S.H.O., P.S. Sadar, Sunam as well as the persons of opposite side. Besides the facts mentioned above, during enquiry into the instant application, petitioner Beerpal Kaur made statement before the Enquiry Officer that SHO, P.S. Sadar, Sunam has summoned both the parties to join the enquiry into application earlier submitted by her and after making enquiry, SHO, P.S. Sadar, Sunam allowed both the parties to go. Beerpal Kaur further stated that neither her husband was abducted, nor he was harassed, tortured, beaten nor any bad word towards caste were uttered by anybody, however, she has submitted the instant application as a counter blast of the application submitted by the opposite party against her husband. Beerpal Kaur further stated that she does not want any action on her present application. As per the statement of Beerpal Kaur and other facts emerged out during

enquiry into application No.2607/P dated 18.3.2016, Superintendent of Police, Headquarters, Sangrur submitted enquiry report dated 12.4.2016 that no action is required to be taken by the Police and recommended that the application may be filed. After agreeing with the said enquiry report, the application submitted by Beerpal Kaur petitioner (copy of same treated as present Crl.W.P. No.411 of 2016) has been filed by S.S.P., Sangrur on 15.4.2016. True translated copy of enquiry report dated 12.4.2016 is attached as Annexure R-1/T.”

As per reply filed by the State, the petitioner has stated that neither her husband was abducted nor he was tortured or beaten or uttered bad words and she submitted the complaint as a counter blast of the application submitted by the opposite party against her husband.

In view of the reply, no further order is required to be passed in the present petition.

Dismissed.

June 01, 2016
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE