

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Amit Kumar
2016.12.07 17:02
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Civil Writ Petition No. 16667 of 2012
Date of Decision: 6.12.2016

Ajay Kishore Sharma and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana through Financial Commissioner-cum-Principal Secretary,
Department of Power and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr. Kunal Mulwani, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, SR. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sudhir Hooda, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 and 3.

Mr. Vaneet Soni, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Mr. Pardeep Singh Poonia, Advocate
for respondent No.6

Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Advocate.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that present writ petition has been rendered infructuous, because the respondent-Power Corporation has already released the connection in favour of the petitioners.

In view of the abovesaid common stand taken by learned counsel for the parties, present writ petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

6.12.2016
Ak Sharma

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No