

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

247

CRWP No.3 of 2016

Date of Decision: 12.01.2016.

Balvir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. K.S. Lakhanpal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajpreet Singh Sidhu, AAG, Punjab,
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Sandeep Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The instant Criminal Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by Balvir Singh-petitioner for issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus to set free the detenue namely Gurpreet Kaur from the illegal custody of respondents No.4 to 6.

In compliance of the order dated January 06, 2016, passed by this Court, Warrant Officer was appointed who has furnished his report, according to which, no family member

was present in the house. All the rooms, kitchen etc. were searched, but none was found there.

At this juncture, the learned State counsel has furnished report. A perusal of the said report, the statement of Gurpreet Kaur as well as her father Balvir Singh have been recorded. As per the statement of Gurpreet Kaur, she has solemnized marriage with respondent No.4-Vinay Kumar son of Sikandar Singh and is residing with him at her own will and volition. To the similar effect is the statement of Balvir Singh. He has categorically stated that Gurpreet Kaur has solemnized marriage of her own will with Vinay Kumar son of Sikandar Singh, R/o Village Bakhtara, Tehsil & District Sangrur, her daughter is at liberty to live wherever she likes to live and he has no grudge either against her or Vinay Kumar. Moreover, they have also preferred a petition seeking protection to their lives and liberty. The detinue Gurpreet Kaur, present in the Court also states that she is living with aforesaid Vinay Kumar, after her marriage and there is no threat to her at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the instant petition is dismissed as having been infructuous.

January 12, 2016*Ankur***(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**