

121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
 AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No. 296 of 2016
DECIDED ON: MARCH 17, 2016

SURINDER KAUR

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. RPS Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Instant petition has been received by post.

Vide order dated March 09, 2016 a Warrant Officer has been appointed and in compliance thereof, Mr. Satish Grover, Warrant Officer visited the premises of Police Station Tarsika on March 12, 2016, who has submitted the following report.

“I alongwith Petitioner and other relative of alleged detainee searched the said Police Station, but the detainee namely Sh. Joga Singh was not found in the custody of Police in the said Police Station. Entry in this regard was made in the DD Register at Sr. No. 005 dated 12.03.2016.

I asked the Officer, why he was detained Sh. Joga Singh on 18.02.2016. He stated that Sh. Joga Singh, was arrested on 19.02.2016 in FIR No. 0017 (Annexure "A") U/S 21 and 22 NDPS Act, registered in Police Station Tarsika, Amritsar and produced before the Hon'ble Court, in compliance with the orders passed by Hon'ble Court he was sent to Jail. He verbally stated that so many cases (NDPS Act and other Sections) are pending against Sh. Joga Singh in other Police Stations."

A glance at the aforesaid report transpires that the alleged detenu namely Joga Singh was arrested by the police on 19.02.2016 in FIR No. 0017 registered under Sections 21 and 22 of NDPS Act (for short 'Act') registered at Police Stations Tarsika, Amritsar and he was produced before the Court. Even it was verbally stated by the concerned SHO that there are number of cases registered against the alleged detenu under the Act which are pending in various police stations.

In view of report this Court does not find any merit in the instant petition. As such the same is dismissed having been rendered infructuous.

However, an information in this regard be sent to the petitioner.

MARCH 17, 2016
jyoti III

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE