

106

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl.W.P. No. 282 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 27.2.2016

Manmohan

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Lather, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- .- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed the present criminal writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 3 of the Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1988, read with Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Rules, 2007, for directions to the respondent to grant him parole (temporary release) for one month on account of repair of his house.

Heard.

It comes out that the petitioner was sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for 3 years and 6 months. His application for parole has been declined by the Superintendent Jail, District Jail, Yamunanagar, Jagadhri, vide speaking order dated

Crl.W.P. No. 282 of 2016 (O/M)

13.11.2015 (Annexure-P-3) on the ground that he is not covered under the parole rules.

During the course of hearing, even the learned counsel for the petitioner concedes that the petitioner is not covered under the Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1988, read with Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Rules, 2007. It being so, there is no illegality in the impugned order. Hence, the present criminal writ petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

27.2.2016
sjks