

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.250 of 2016.
Decided on:-04.03.2016.

Parween @ Chhokar

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

As per office report, learned counsel for the petitioner has not turned up to take the warrant officer, as directed by this Court vide order dated February 17, 2016. No one is present in Court on behalf of the petitioner even today. Conduct of the petitioner shows that he is not interested in pursuing the present petition and the petition appears to be a frivolous one.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

March 04, 2016
Yag Dutt

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**