

Criminal Writ Petition No.240 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24th February, 2016

Ramesh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Vikram Jeet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. D.R.Singla, DAG, Haryana,
for the respondent(s)-State.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Affidavit of Dayanand, Superintendent, District Jail, Rohtak, has been filed. Same is taken on record.

Petitioners have preferred the instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 3(1)(c) of Haryana Good Conduct Prisoner (Temporary Release) Act, 1988 for issuance of a writ, directing the respondents to release the petitioners on parole for a period of at least four weeks to attend the marriage of Pinky and Pooja, who are the daughters of petitioner No.1; nieces of petitioner Nos.2 and 3 and cousins of petitioner Nos.4 and 5.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

As per the affidavit of Superintendent, District Jail Rohtak, the marriage of the daughters of petitioner No.1 is fixed for 25/26.02.2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioners only prays that the petitioners be allowed to attend the marriage in police custody and he does not ask for other relief.

In view of above, Superintendent District Jail, Rohtak is directed to produce the petitioners in custody to attend the marriage on the scheduled date i.e. 26.02.2016 from 09:00 AM to 05:00 PM, at the expenses of the petitioners.

A copy of this order be given dasti to the learned counsel for the petitioners under the signatures of Bench Secretary.

Registry is also directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned Jail Superintendent, through fax, for compliance.

24th February, 2016
mamta

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE