

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Writ Petition No.223 of 2016

Date of Decision: 21.04.2016

Tarsem Pal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. K.L. Saini, Advocate
for Mr. Ram Kumar Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G., Punjab
for the respondent-State.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to the respondents to release the petitioner pre-maturely as per Government instructions dated 08.07.1991.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the petitioner was wrongly rejected by the State Government, whereas, his case was covered under the policy. He further submits that the petitioner has already undergone more than 14 years and 08 months of actual sentence and after adding remissions, it comes to more than 20 years.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that the

period of more than two years has passed and case of the petitioner can be re-considered as per terms and conditions of the policy.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the respondent-State, it is directed that the case of petitioner be re-considered within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to be released on interim bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Amritsar, till the decision is taken.

21.04.2016
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE