

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh.

235

CWP-1085-2014

Date of Decision:14.07.2016

Gulshan Gulati

...Petitioner

Versus

Tribunal of Maintenance and Welfare of Parents, Senior Citizen Act,
Thanesar, Distt. Kurukshetra and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Rakesh Kumar Jain (Oral)

The petitioner had deposited ₹10,000/- towards litigation expenses in the Court for the appearance of respondent No.2 who happens to be his mother.

Respondent No.2 has not put in appearance despite notice.

Respondent No.2 had filed an application under the provisions of the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 which has been allowed by the SDM awarding her a sum of ₹4,000/- per month.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner at length and do not find any reason to interfere with the impugned order. Hence, the present petition is dismissed. However, ₹10,000/- deposited by the petitioner with the Registry towards litigation expenses for the appearance of respondent No.2 is directed to be returned to him on presentation of certified copy of this order.

July 14, 2016
kapil

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE