

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No. 20 of 2016
DECIDED ON: MAY 12, 2016

SANJEEV @ NARESH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH.

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Pannu, DAG, Haryana.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

A complaint has been received in the office of Hon'ble Chief Justice's Secretariat, which has been treated as a criminal writ petition.

In response to notice of motion, learned State counsel filed reply by way of an affidavit of Rajiv Rattan, IAS. A glance at the afore-said reply/report transpires that on receipt of copy of the criminal writ petition, District Magistrate, Sonapat directed Sub Divisional Officer (C), Sonapat to enquire into the matter and submit report. Sub Divisional Officer (C), Sonapat constituted a committee consisting of Tehsildar, Sonapat, Khanpur Kalan, Labour Officer, Sonapat, Food & Supply Officer, Sonapat. The said committee visited Marka Vishkarma Bhatta Co. on January 12, 2016 and made an enquiry into the matter

and recorded the statements of all the concerned stakeholders and ultimately came to the conclusion that no bonded labour at the afore-said brick kiln was found and it is also came to the light that none of the labourers mentioned in the petition had ever visited the brick kiln.

In view of report/reply submitted by learned State counsel, instant petition has rendered infructuous and as such is disposed of.

MAY 12, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE