

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Writ Petition No.192 of 2016
Date of Decision: 26.02.2016**

Kiranpreet Kaur

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

BY POST:

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

The instant application/complaint has been treated as Criminal Writ Petition, wherein the complainant-petitioner has alleged that on a raid conducted by the police on 28.1.2016, her husband has been taken away by them despite the fact that in the said raid, nothing has been recovered from him and now, the whereabouts of her husband are not known.

On notice of motion having been issued, learned State counsel has produced the statement of the complainant-petitioner

to the effect that her husband was taken away by the Kapurthala police for investigation, however, now he has returned back. The statement of the alleged detainee namely Gurpreet Singh has also been produced wherein he has stated that he has returned back and has joined his family.

In view of the aforesaid, no order is required to be passed in the present petition and the same is disposed of, as such.

February 26, 2016
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE