

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No. 10709 of 2014
Date of Decision: 23.9.2016**

Punjab Rice Miller Association

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr. Paramvir Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Vaibhav Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. V.K. Kaushal, Advocate
for UOI.

Mr. K.K. Gupta, Advocate
for respondents No. 3 to 5.

Ms. Monica Chibber Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondent No. 1 as well as learned counsel for respondents No. 3 to 5, refer to the specific averments taken in para 4 of the affidavit dated 15.3.2016 filed on behalf of respondent No.1, to contend that present writ petition does not survive, as the needful has already been done.

Averments taken in para 4 of the reply filed by way of affidavit,
read as under:-

*“That subsequently, it was also noticed that
the main grievance of the rice millers was that their*

Amit Kumar
2016.09.23.14:04
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

representatives be also associated in the process of analysis. Accordingly, the matter was again considered and fresh instructions were issued vide communication dated 23.3.2015, a true copy of which is enclosed as Annexures R-1/1 and has also been endorsed to the FCI for its strict compliance. In the said revised procedure, the procedure regarding inspection, sampling and analysis from the stage of depot till the appellate stage of analysis by the Central Grain Analysis Laboratory, New Delhi (CGAL), has been provided. At each of the stage of analysis, provision for associating the representative of the agency concerned/rice millers has been provided which is new concept in the present instructions which would satisfy the demand of rice millers as well, besides having a transparent procedure at each of the level. It is further submitted that levy is not in vogue on date. In the given situation, the aforesaid writ petition does not survive as the apprehension and grievance of the petitioner-Rice Millers Association has been answered in the aforesaid instructions dated 23.3.2015.”

Correctness of the abovesaid statement made by learned counsel for respondents No.1 and 3 to 6 as well as abovesaid stand taken in the affidavit have not been denied by learned counsel for the petitioner and rightly so, it being a matter of record.

In this view of the matter, present writ petition does not survive any further and the same is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

23.9.2016
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No