

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.1573 of 2016
Date of decision: 19.12.2016**

Sanjeev @ Ranbir

..... Petitioner

Versus

State

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sulinder Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

SNEH PRASHAR, J. (ORAL)

Report of Hitesh Garg, Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Samalkha has been received. As per the report, none of the detenues named in the complaint were present in the brick kiln. As per the statement of the owner of the brick kiln, the said persons had never been engaged as labourer in the brick kiln.

Learned State counsel has filed inquiry report by way of affidavit of Sh. Chander Shekhar Khare, IAS, District Magistrate, Panipat on behalf of the respondent, which is also to the effect that the persons said to have been detained were not present at the brick kiln and had not been engaged as labourers.

In view of the report of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Samalkha and the submission made by learned State counsel, no further action in the present petition is required to be taken and the petition is disposed of accordingly.

19.12.2016
Dinesh Bansal

**(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable**

**Yes / No
Yes / No**