

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.13185 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 26.2.2016

Kewal Krishan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present : Mr.Vishal Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Nikhil K.Chopra, D.A.G., Punjab

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

The element of promotion is not involved in this case. Therefore, the question of demotion does not arise. The petitioner was a Kanungo while respondent no.4 was given charge as Sadar Kanungo in the same District. Neither of them were promoted. Mere handing over the charge of extra duties and responsibilities cannot find an actionable claim in exercise of writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. The petitioner cannot be seen as an aggrieved person.

Dismissed.

**26.2.2016
MFK**

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**