

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1521-2016

Date of decision: 6.12.2016

Randeep Singh alias Kala

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.P.S. MANN**
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURMIT RAM

Present: **Mr. Randeep Singh Dhull, Advocate**
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, Assistant A.G., Haryana.

T.P.S. MANN, J.(Oral)

Prayer made in the petition filed by Randeep Singh @ Kala is for releasing him on interim bail/emergency parole/furlough for a period of six weeks so as to enable him to take care of his wife and daughter.

According to the petitioner, his wife suffered fracture of Spine i.e. L1. She had received the said injury when she fell from the staircase on 12.11.2016. Though the requisite surgery has since been conducted yet, his wife is not in a position to move around and carry out household work. Rather, she is bedridden.

Upon notice, reply has been filed on behalf of the respondents wherein it stands mentioned that the petitioner stands convicted and sentenced in three cases i.e. FIR No.1 dated 1.1.2008, under Section 364-A IPC and

Section 25 of the Arms Act, Police Station Naraingarh, FIR No.136 dated 26.5.2011, under Sections 307/148/149/120-B IPC, Police Station Sadar, Jind and FIR No.131 dated 31.12.2010, under Section 25 of the Arms Act, Police Station Sadar, Narwana. Besides, he is facing trial in case FIR No.77 dated 2.6.2013, under Sections 302/148/149 IPC, Section 25 of the Arms Act, Police Station Alewa and presently on bail in the said case. It has also been stated that the case of the petitioner falls in the category of **hardcore prisoner** as he stands convicted and sentenced for committing the offence under Section 364-A IPC i.e. kidnapping for ransom.

Learned State counsel has produced the report dated 6.12.2016 of the Station House Officer, Police Station Naraingarh wherein it has been mentioned that because of injury received by Smt. Suman, wife of the petitioner, she had suffered a fracture and though she has already undergone the requisite surgery but there is none to take care of her excepting her in-laws who were old and aged.

From the report of the Station House Officer, one thing stands established that the wife of the petitioner has suffered fracture of her spine and she is presently not in a position to move about. Her in-laws are aged and old persons. Apart from them, there is none who can run the house. Under these circumstances, this Court is of the view that the petitioner can be granted parole for a period of three weeks so that he may look after his wife and also run the household.

Resultantly, the petitioner be released on parole for a period of three weeks subject to his furnishing personal bond in the sum of ₹1 lakh with

two sureties in the like amount, one of which shall be local, to the satisfaction of the District Magistrate, Jind.

The petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

(T.P.S. MANN)
JUDGE

(GURMIT RAM)
JUDGE

6.12.2016
Brij

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No