

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.13129 of 2013

Date of Decision: 07.12.2016

Manju Bala

... Petitioner

Versus

President, State Consumer Disputes Redressal
Commission, Haryana, Panchkula
and another

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Ankit Joshi, Advocate,
for Mr. Sushil Gautam, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

The petitioner is working in the State Consumer Commission, Gurgaon where she was not even recruited but came on the request of the Chairman of the State Commission to serve in his department.

The petitioner is stationed at Gurgaon where she has obtained further promotion on the post of Accountant and still does not appear to be satisfied with her lot. The High Court is not meant to distribute happiness to litigants other than what the law requires this Court to do in a case according to the law.

The prayer in this petition was for an appointment to the State Commission which she has obtained by means which are not discernible on the file but having regard to the fact that pending litigation she has been stationed in Gurgaon and promoted, this petition is best treated as having

been rendered infructuous. She can make no further claim for seniority etc. of her previous service in District Forum as Clerk to steal a march over Commission employees serving before she landed in Gurgaon. She must rest content to be at the tail end of the cadre she entered laterally.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

07.12.2016
manju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No