

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.1465 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 19.12.2016

Jai Singh Bhardwaj and another

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Manish Soni, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana

Mr. N.S. Shekhawat, Advocate for respondent No.6
with detenu-Master Himanshu.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

The detenu heard in person.

The learned counsel for the petitioners seeks to withdraw the
present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

However, the learned counsel for the petitioners states that
prior to filing the present petition, alleged detenu-Master Himanshu was in
the custody of the petitioners. Respondent No.6 had moved a petition under
Section 25 of the Guardians and Wards Act, 1890 for the custody of the
detenu in the present case, which was subsequently dismissed for non-
prosecution vide order dated 08.11.2016, passed by learned Additional
Civil Judge (Senior Division), Panipat.

Considering the fact that the petitioners are the grandparents whereas, respondent No.6 is the mother of the child in issue. The detenu had joined the company of respondent No.6, who is now confined to jail. After hearing the detenu/the child in detail the Court feels that at present he be not moved from the family of respondent No.6. However, it will be open for the petitioners to file a petition/application under Section 25 of the Guardians and Wards Act for the custody of the child in issue or any other petition/application in accordance with law before the competent Court.

19.12.2016

*ashok***(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No