

210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 16264 of 2012

Date of decision: 25.01.2016

Subhash Chand Gupta

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. S.B. Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner, who was working as a Clerk in the Department of Education, Government of Haryana, retired from service on 31.12.2009. He has filed the present petition seeking refixation of his pay w.e.f. June 04, 1999 which according to him was not done as the issue with regard to his leave for the year 1997-1999 remained pending. He has further prayed that after refixing his pay, he be placed in the revised pay w.e.f. 01.01.1996 and then w.e.f. 01.01.2006 and after such placement in the revised scales, he be paid his arrears with regard to pay and retiral benefits.

It is the admitted position that the actuals after refixing

his pay and placing him in the revised pay scales with regard to his arrears of pay and retiral benefits, have been paid to the petitioner. However, the petitioner remains dissatisfied as no interest on the same has been granted.

There are conflicting claims on both sides with regard to causing of delay resulting in belated release of arrears.

For the grant of interest, the petitioner has made no representation to the respondents and while disposing of the present petition, he is granted liberty to do so. If any representation is made, the same would be considered and decided by passing a speaking order after granting opportunity of hearing to the petitioner expeditiously but not later than six months from the date of receipt of such representation.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

(Deepak Sibal)
Judge

25.01.2016

anju rani