

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 1361-2016

Date of decision:- October 21, 2016

SURJIT SINGH @ PAPPU

.....PETITIONER..

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS..

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present:- Mr. Roopak Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(Oral)

Though, by virtue of instant petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India read with the provisions of Punjab Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1962, the petitioner has sought direction to the respondents to grant the parole to the petitioner for a period of 6 weeks on the ground that he is seriously ill and he is physically disabled to the extent of 52% and is undergoing imprisonment in FIR No. 75, dated 03.07.1999, Police Station Samrala, District Ludhiana, yet, learned counsel for the petitioner feels satisfied, in case, direction is given to respondent No.3-Superintendent of District Jail, Ludhiana to look into the application (Annexure P-1) as well as notice dated 25.09.2016 (Annexure P-2) and to pass an appropriate order.

2. Notice of motion to the respondents-State.

3. At the asking of the Court, Mr. J.S. Sekhon, AAG, Punjab has accepted notice on behalf of the respondents-State. Copy of the paper book has been supplied to him.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that though a request was made to the Jail Superintendent, Ludhiana (Punjab) for the grant of leave/parole on 08.09.2016 (Annexure P-1) and subsequently, a notice was also given on 25.09.2016 (Annexure P-2) but till date no action has been taken.

5. Instant petition is disposed of with the direction to the Superintendent District Jail, Ludhiana-respondent No.3 to look into the grievances/request unfolded by the petitioner in Annexures P-1 and P-2 and to take appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

October 21, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reasonable: Yes/No