

CRWP-1282-2016

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1282-2016

Date of Decision: 08.11.2016

Sahil Khurana

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. R.S.Rana, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.4 to 6.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner, Sahil Khurana, has filed this criminal writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of habeas corpus directing respondent Nos.1 to 3 to recover the detenue, Anjali wife of the petitioner and to produce her before this Court.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and respondent Nos.4 to 6 also put in appearance through their counsel.

Reply on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3, by way of affidavit of Sh. Krishan Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Headquarters, Kurukshetra, filed in Court today, is taken on record.

CRWP-1282-2016

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned State counsel and learned counsel for respondent Nos.4 to 6 and have gone through the record.

The alleged detainee is residing with her parents. On 30.09.2016, statement of alleged detainee, Anjali, has also been recorded by the Inspector/Station House Officer, Police Station City Thanesar. Copy of the said statement is attached as Annexure R-1 along with reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3. In the said statement, she stated that her husband, Sahil beats and harasses her and she does not want to reside with him. She also stated that she is residing with her parents in Sector-5, Kurukshetra at her own will and she does not want to go to her matrimonial home to live with her husband. It is also stated in the statement that she wants action to be taken on her complaint.

At the time of arguments, learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondent Nos.4 to 6 brought into the notice of this Court that a complaint has already been filed by the alleged detainee, Anjali, against the present petitioner for taking action.

Keeping in view the reply and statement (Annexure P-1) got recorded by the said Anjali, no further action is required in this petition.

Disposed of.

08.11.2016

parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Note: Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No