

C.W.P No. 18586 of 2011

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P No. 18586 of 2011

DATE OF DECISION:04.10.2016

Indu Aggarwal and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate
for petitioners No.1 and 11.

AS Gagrha, Advocate
for petitioners No.2 to 10, 12 and 13.

Mr. L.S. Virk, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Bikram Chaudhary, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The petitioners have approached this Court for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of impugned order dated 17.8.2011 (Annexure P-14), whereby, 18 posts out of 20 posts of “Junior Executive Assistant” in Forest Department have been sent to SSS Board for direct recruitment.

The grievance of the petitioners is that they are working for the last many years but have not been regularized as per policy of the State Government and are also not being granted regular pay scale, which is

granted to similarly situated regular candidates.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the claim of the petitioners was rejected earlier vide order dated 25.8.2015 and was not in their knowledge as the order was not conveyed to them.

No doubt in the written statement, it has been mentioned that the claim of the petitioners has been rejected but subsequently on the asking of the Court, reasons have been mentioned for declining the claim of the petitioners in order dated 25.8.2015.

Learned State counsel has brought to the notice of this Court that some of the petitioners are not working and some are continuing because of the interim order.

Learned counsel for the petitioners prays that he may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to challenge order dated 25.8.2015 and the petitioners may be protected till the filing of the fresh petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty to file fresh petition to challenge order dated 25.8.2015 within a period of one month.

However, the respondents are also directed not to terminate the services of the petitioners, if they are in service till the filing of the fresh petition. The interim order will continue for a period of one month from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

October 04, 2016
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No