

254

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.1233 of 2016

Date of decision: November 29, 2016

Bhupinder Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. A.S. Dhindsa, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. K.S. Sidhu, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Ashok Giri, Advocate for respondents No.4 and 5.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Reply by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Tanda, District Hoshiarpur filed by the learned State counsel today, in Court, is taken on record.

I have perused the above said reply by way of affidavit. Upon hearing the learned counsel for the rival parties, I find that the girl was major when the marriage took place. The petitioners being her parents felt aggrieved as it appears that the marriage took place without their consent. But then the fact remains that the girl was major at the time of marriage and of her own, given the statement to the police that she being major married of her own and wants to live in her matrimonial home with her husband.

In that view of the matter, nothing can be done in the

matter. Learned counsel for the petitioners insist that the address of the girl should be given to the petitioners. No further orders in that behalf can be passed as nobody can be compelled to give address. However, liberty is reserved in favour of the petitioners to take such steps as available in law in case of any grievance.

Disposed of.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

November 29, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No