

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**FAO No. 37-M of 2007
DATE OF DECISION :- May 18, 2016**

Paramjit Kaur **...Appellant**

Versus

Balbir Singh **...Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.JEYAPPAUL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present :- Mr. L.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Shivam Grover, Advocate
for Ms. Tanu Bedi, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest? Yes/No

M.JEYAPPAUL, J.

1. Criminal Revision No. 1538 of 2005 was dismissed as withdrawn today while Criminal Appeal No. 664-SB of 2005 filed by Balbir Singh and others was allowed. In terms of the compromise arrived at between the parties, appellant Paramjit Kaur undertakes before this Court to withdraw the execution petition filed by her on behalf of her son. It is, in fact, informed to the Court that the appellant has already moved an application as per the undertaking

given by her that she shall withdraw the execution petition in terms of the agreement.

2. Directing the Registry to release to the appellant the two bank drafts for ₹5 lacs and ₹7 lacs deposited by the respondent, the appeal, as prayed for by the parties in terms of the settlement arrived by between them, stands dismissed as withdrawn.

**(M. JEYAPPAUL)
JUDGE**

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 18, 2016

p.singh