

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No. 1168 of 2016
DECIDED ON : AUGUST 31, 2016**

AMRITPAL SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present : Mr. Narinder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J

Instant petition has been filed under Article 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus for the release of detenue namely Ramandeep Kaur @ Rimipi daughter of the petitioner from the illegal custody of respondents No. 4 to 6.

The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that on March 07, 2016 when the petitioner came from his fields, he found his wife lying unconscious while his daughter was missing from the home. Petitioner and his family members made lot of efforts at their own level to trace out the whereabouts of Ramandeep Kaur @ Rimpi but in vain. Now the petitioner has come to know that his daughter has been kidnapped by respondents No.4 to 6 and further that respondent No.4 has forcibly married with her and has been illegally detained by respondent No.4 at his house.

This Court has given a deep thought to the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner but find the same to be without any legal substance.

The alleged detainee is stated to be missing from her house from March 07, 2016 and thereafter, she has solemnized her marriage with respondent No.4. It is not the case of the petitioner that he has visited the house of respondent No.4 or has seen the alleged detainee in the illegal detention of respondent No.4. Mere the allegation in this regard is not suffice to put the police into motion. Moreover, Ramandeep Kaur @ Rimpi is major, hail and healthy. She has not taken any step, so far, which is suggestive of the fact that she is living happily after her marriage. In fact, it appears that the petitioner is unhappy with regard to the marriage of his daughter with respondent No.4. Appointment of warrant officer or issuance of any notice in such a petition would rather reflect upon the private respondents.

Thus, this Court does not find any merit in the instant petition. As such the same is dismissed.

However, petitioner shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available to him under the law.

AUGUST 31, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No