

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

**CRR(F) No. 431 of 2016
Date of Decision: 15.12.2016**

Sunil Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs.

Mukta

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has come up in the revision against the order dated 15.09.2016 (Annexure P/1), whereby Rs.6,000/- has been awarded to the respondent-wife as maintenance. Income of the petitioner has been taken up as Rs.15,000/-.

Keeping in view that he is running electric shop and is also doing business of finance at Sunam. Income of the petitioner has been taken to be Rs.15,000/- per month, which is reasonable.

No ground is made out to interfere in the impugned order. However, petitioner is at liberty to lead evidence in the trial by moving an application under Section 125 Cr.P.C to the appropriate authority.

Dismissed.

December 15, 2016
tripti

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE