

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRR(F) No.43 of 2016

Date of decision:11.07.2016

Simarjit Singh

... Petitioner

versus

Sukhwinder Kaur

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present : Mr. Munish Gulati, Advocate
for the petitioner.

* * *

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner is aggrieved by the interim order dated 09.12.2015 vide which District Judge, Family Court, Moga had allowed interim maintenance of Rs. 2000/- to the wife.

Learned counsel for the petitioner urges that the petitioner is working at a Hardware Store and his monthly income is Rs. 4000/- and he does not have any other source of income and the amount allowed to the wife is on the higher side.

The wife had pleaded that the husband was earning Rs. 40,000/- and he was doing glass designing work which was refuted by the husband. He had taken a plea that he was working at a Hardware Store. The husband was not able to produce any material before the trial Court. The trial Court at the interim stage has awarded Rs. 2000/- which cannot be said to be on the higher side even the labourer can earn more than Rs. 5500/- per month.

I find no infirmity in the order passed by the Court below. Nothing contained herein would be taken to be an expression on the merits. The trial Court would adjudicate the issues independent of the observations made herein.

The petition is dismissed.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE